2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"This Document Relates To" in the caption described above, e.g., "	'This Document
Relates To: Pfeiffer v. Zoran Corp., Case No. CV 06-05503 WHA	,,

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

After a case management conference, the Court enters the following order pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") and Local Rule 16-10:

- All motions for the appointment of a lead plaintiff shall be filed no later than 1. **DECEMBER 14, 2006.** The hearing on these motion is scheduled for **THURSDAY**, JANUARY 18, 2006, AT 8:00 A.M. Each candidate must fill out the attached questionnaire regarding qualifications to act as lead plaintiff. The questionnaire should be filed with the court no later than JANUARY 17, 2006, AT NOON. Each candidate should also attend the hearing scheduled on January 18, 2006. Lead counsel will be appointed at a later date following diligence by the lead plaintiff as described in the questionnaire.
- The consolidated and amended securities complaint must be filed within 28 CALENDAR 2. **DAYS** after the date of the order appointing counsel for the lead securities plaintiff. The motion to dismiss must be filed within 28 CALENDAR DAYS of the filing of the consolidated and amended complaint, to be heard on a 35-day track.
- 3. All initial disclosures under Rule 26 as to the securities case must be made within FOURTEEN DAYS of the service of the consolidated securities case. This will not be stayed pending the motion to dismiss. Discovery, however, will be stayed until the motion to dismiss is decided.
- 4. The private mediator must be selected and on board by JANUARY 31, 2007, and the private mediation completed by MARCH 31, 2007.
- 5. The non-expert discovery cut-off date shall be **SEPTEMBER 28, 2007**.
- 6. The last date for designation of expert testimony and disclosure of full expert reports under FRCP 26(a)(2) as to any issue on which a party has the burden of proof ("opening reports") shall be **SEPTEMBER 28, 2007**. Within FOURTEEN CALENDAR DAYS thereafter, all other parties may disclose responsive expert

testimony with full expert reports responsive to opening reports ("opposition reports").
Within SEVEN CALENDAR DAYS thereafter, the opening parties may disclose any reply
reports limited solely to rebutting specific material in opposition reports. Reply reports
must be limited to true rebuttal and should be very brief. They should not add new
material that should have been placed in the opening report. The cutoff for all expert
discovery shall be FOURTEEN CALENDAR DAYS after the deadline for reply reports. In
aid of preparing an opposition or reply report, a responding party may depose the
adverse expert sufficiently before the deadline for the opposition or reply report so as to
use the testimony in preparing the response. Experts must make themselves readily
available for such depositions. Alternatively, the responding party can elect to depose
the expert later in the expert-discovery period. An expert, however, may be deposed
only once unless the expert is used for different opening and/or opposition reports, in
which case the expert may be deposed independently on the subject matter of each
report. At least 28 CALENDAR DAYS before the due date for opening reports, each party
shall serve a list of issues on which it will offer any expert testimony in its case-in-chief
(including from non-retained experts). This is so that all parties will be timely able to
obtain counter-experts on the listed issues and to facilitate the timely completeness of
all expert reports. Failure to so disclose may result in preclusion.

- 7. As to damages studies, the cut-off date for *past damages* will be as of the expert report (or such earlier date as the expert may select). In addition, the experts may try to project future damages (i.e., after the cut-off date) if the substantive standards for future damages can be met. With timely leave of Court or by written stipulation, the experts may update their reports (with supplemental reports) to a date closer to the time of trial.
- 8. At trial, the direct testimony of experts will be limited to the matters disclosed in their reports. Omitted material may not ordinarily be added on direct examination. This means the reports must be complete and sufficiently detailed. Illustrative animations, diagrams, charts and models may be used on direct examination only if they were part

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of the expert's report, with the exception of simple drawings and tabulations that plainly illustrate what is already in the report, which can be drawn by the witness at trial or otherwise shown to the jury. If cross-examination fairly opens the door, however, an expert may go beyond the written report on cross-examination and/or redirect examination. By written stipulation, of course, all sides may relax these requirements.

- 9. To head off a recurring problem, experts lacking percipient knowledge should avoid vouching for the credibility of witnesses, i.e., whose version of the facts in dispute is correct. This means that they may not, for example, testify that based upon a review of fact depositions and other material supplied by counsel, a police officer did (or did not) violate standards. Rather, the expert should be asked for his or her opinion based explicitly — upon an assumed fact scenario. This will make clear that the witness is not attempting to make credibility and fact findings and thereby to invade the province of the jury. Of course, a qualified expert can testify to relevant customs, usages, practices, recognized standards of conduct, and other specialized matters beyond the ken of a lay jury. This subject is addressed further in the trial guidelines referenced in paragraph 15 below.
- 10. The last date to file dispositive motions shall be **NOVEMBER 15, 2007**. No dispositive motions shall be heard more than 35 days after this deadline, i.e., if any party waits until the last day to file, then the parties must adhere to the 35-day track in order to avoid pressure on the trial date.
- 11. The FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE shall be at 2:00 P.M. on JANUARY 28, 2008. For the form of submissions for the final pretrial conference and trial, please see paragraph 15 below.
- 12. A JURY TRIAL shall begin on FEBRUARY 11, 2008, at 7:30 A.M., in Courtroom 9, 19th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, 94102. The trial schedule and time limits shall be set at the final pretrial conference. Although almost all trials proceed on the date scheduled, it may be necessary on occasion for a case to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- trail, meaning the trial may commence a few days or even a few weeks after the date stated above, due to calendar congestion and the need to give priority to criminal trials. Counsel and the parties should plan accordingly, including advising witnesses.
- 13. Counsel may not stipulate around the foregoing dates without Court approval.
- 14. While the Court encourages the parties to engage in settlement discussions, please do not ask for any extensions on the ground of settlement discussions or on the ground that the parties experienced delays in scheduling settlement conferences, mediation or ENE. The parties should proceed to prepare their cases for trial. No continuance (even if stipulated) shall be granted on the ground of incomplete preparation without competent and detailed declarations setting forth good cause.
- 15. To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a fully-executed settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure pending a formal dismissal.
- 16. If you have not already done so, please read and follow the "Supplemental Order to Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference in Civil Cases Before Judge William Alsup" and other orders issued by the Clerk's office when this action was commenced. Among other things, the supplemental order explains when submissions are to go to the Clerk's Office (the general rule) versus when submissions may go directly to chambers (rarely). With respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, please read and follow the "Guidelines For Trial and Final Pretrial Conference in Civil Jury Cases Before The Honorable William Alsup." All orders and guidelines

Case 3:06-cv-05503-WHA Document 20 Filed 12/08/06 Page 5 of 5

referenced in the paragraph are available on the district court's website at
http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. The website also includes other guidelines for
attorney's fees motions and the necessary form of attorney time records for cases
before Judge Alsup. If you do not have access to the Internet, you may contact Deputy
Clerk Dawn K. Toland at (415) 522-2020 to learn how to pick up a hard copy.

17. All pretrial disclosures under FRCP 26(a)(3) and objections required by FRCP 26(a)(3) must be made on the schedule established by said rule.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 8, 2006

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE